

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/566,213 | 01/27/2006 | Takeshi Sakamoto | 126791 | 1017 |
| 25944 7590 02/10/2009 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC | | | EXAMINER | |
| P.O. BOX 320 | 850 | | HARRIS, GARY D | |
| ALEXANDRI | A, VA 22320-4850 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1794 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/10/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/566.213 SAKAMOTO ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit GARY D. HARRIS 1704 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) GARY D. HARRIS. (2) Ryan Brady. (4)____. Date of Interview: 05 February 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)XI No. If Yes, brief description: ____ Claim(s) discussed: claim 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Tagava US 5.314.756. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed amendments were discussed and how third layer differs from prior art. Applicant will submit proposed changes in the next correspondence. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| /Gary D. Harris/ | /K.M.B/ | - |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Examiner, Art Unit 1794 | Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794 | |